



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/031,833

06/10/2002

Howard Green

H0535/7013

5763

23628 7590 10/09/2007
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206

EXAMINER

NAFF, DAVID M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1657

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/09/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/031,833

Applicant(s)

GREEN ET AL

Examiner

David M. Naff

Art Unit

1657

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 20, 22 and 74-77 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 20, 22 and 74-77 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

An amendment of 7/5/07 amended claims 8 and 76.

Claims examined on the merits are 1-13, 20, 22 and 74-77, which are all claims in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of

Art Unit: 1657

each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5 Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-11, 20, 74, 75 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Green et al (6,267,957 B1).

 The claims are drawn to a composition comprising a compound having a structure of the formula $X_2-L_2-A-L_1-X_1$, wherein A is an agent, 10 L_1 and L_2 are linkers or bonds, X_1 and X_2 are reactive moieties selected from specific moieties containing an R molecule selected from organic and inorganic molecules. X_2 and L_2 can be absent leaving $A-L_1-X_1$ as the compound. Also claimed is a method of attaching an agent to a body tissue using the compound, and a pharmaceutical composition containing 15 the compound and a carrier.

 Green et al disclose attaching agents to proteinaceous material such as body tissue. The agent can be provided with a functional group to facilitate attachment (col 9, lines 21-25). Functional groups can be provided by reacting the agent with a bifunctional 20 cross-linker (col 9, lines 34-40). The cross-linker can be disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate (col 9, lines 45-47).

 When providing the agent of Green et al with a function group using disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate, a 25 compound, compositions and method as required by the present claims

Art Unit: 1657

will result, or be obvious. The agent of Green et al can be an enzyme (col 6, line 57) or a nonprotein (col 27, line 28), can be in a pharmaceutical composition (col 13, line 29), and a microparticle does not have to be present. Green et al intend using the agent in a method of attaching the agent to tissue. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to select disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate from the cross-linkers disclosed by Green et al to provide a functional group on the agent. It would have also been obvious to use the functional group-containing agent for attaching the agent to tissue or in a pharmaceutical composition as suggested by Green et al.

Response to Arguments

The amendment urges that Green et al use the linker between components of the composition, and this will result in reactive groups of the linker being unavailable to react with a protein. However, Green et al do not limit the linker (disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate) to between components of the composition, but also use the linker to attach proteins. In this case, after reacting disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate with an agent as disclosed by Green et al, a free succinimidyl group will remain that can covalently attach to a protein. Disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate contain two succinimidyl groups and only one reacts with the agent leaving the other free to react with a proteinaceous material. A free succinimidyl group as contained by Bis-N-hydroxy-succinimide shown by

Art Unit: 1657

Formula II on page 6 of the present specification will remain as a reactive group for reacting with a protein. This free succinimidyl group will be the reactive moiety D disclosed on page 3 of the present specification, which is a specific reactive moiety of the claims. The present specification discloses (page 22, lines 19 and 20) the cross-linkers, disuccinimidyl suberate as bis(sulfosuccinimidyl), as linkers that can be used. The claims do not exclude the reactive moiety X₁ being an un-reacted succinimidyl of a bi-functional cross-linker that has been reacted with the agent. A method of use and pharmaceutical composition as required by claims 9 and 20, respectively, would have been obvious uses of the composition of claim 1 since Green et al disclose a pharmaceutical composition and a method of attaching the agent to tissue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al.

The claim requires a kit comprising a package housing, a container containing the composition of claim 1 and instructions for use.

Green et al disclose providing a kit (col 2, line 31).

When providing the agent of Green et al with a functional group using disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) as set forth above, it would have been obvious to put the functionalized agent in a kit for later use. Putting instructions on the kit would have been obvious to enable one to use the kit properly.

Art Unit: 1657

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3, 4, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al in view of Cheng et al (6,080,566).

5 The claims require the agent to be an enzyme that degrades nerve agents. The enzyme can be OPAA anhydrolase or OPA anhydrase.

Green et al is described above.

Cheng et al disclose degrading nerve agents with OPAA or OPA (col 1, lines 50-55).

10 It would have been obvious to use as the enzyme agent of Green et al an OPAA or OPA enzyme to obtain its function to degrade a nerve agent as suggested by Cheng et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15 Claims 8 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al in view of Fusaro (3,920,808).

The claims require dihydroxyacetone as a functional moiety of the compound.

Green et al is described above.

20 Fusaro discloses dihydroxyacetone as being reactive with amino derivatives of protein in human skin (col 2, lines 52-65).

It would have been obvious to use dihydroxyacetone to provide a functional group on the agent of Green et al to obtain the function of the dihydroxyacetone to react with protein as disclosed by Fusaro.

Art Unit: 1657

Response to Arguments

The amendment urges that in view of the above arguments traversing the 102, alternative 103 rejection, the above rejections of dependent claims 3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 22 and 76 are moot. However, as set forth above, reacting an agent with disuccinimidyl suberate or bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate as disclosed by Green et al will leave a free succinimidyl group that can react with a proteinaceous material. Therefore, the above rejections of dependent claims are not moot.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff

Art Unit: 1657

whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925.

5 The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
10 from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-
15 9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



David M. Naff
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1657

20 DMN
10/1/07